

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW

8110 GATEHOUSE ROAD SUITE 100 EAST FALLS CHURCH, VA 22042-1248 USA OTHER OFFICES: CARLSBAD, CALIFORNIA COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

(703) 205-8000 FAX: (703) 205-8050 (703) 698-8590 (G IV)

e-mail: mailroom@bskb.com web: http://www.bskb.com



Date: November 20, 2003

Docket No.: 0020-5197P

MS PATENT APPLICATION

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Transmitted herewith for filing is the patent application of

Inventor(s): FUJISAWA, Koichi and FUSHIHARA, Kazuhisa

For: A RUBBER COMPOSITION FOR GOLF BALL

Enclosed are:

\boxtimes	A specification consisting of Forty-four (44) pages					
	(0) sheet(s) formal drawings					
\boxtimes	An assignment of the invention					
	Applicant claims small entity status under 37 C.F.R. § 1.27					
	Applicant does not claim priority					
	Applicant claims the right of priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 based on Application No(s). 2002-337635 filed in JAPAN or November 21, 2002. Certified copy(ies) is(are) attached hereto. Certified copy(ies) will follow.					
\boxtimes	Executed Declaration (M Original Photocopy)					

	Application Data Sheet in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.76
\boxtimes	Preliminary Amendment
\boxtimes	Information Disclosure Statement, PTO-1449 and reference(s)
	Other:
□	Applicant requests early publication - \$300.00 publication fee
	Non-publication Request and Certification under 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(i)

The filing fee has been calculated as shown below:

			LARGE ENTITY	SMALL ENTITY
	BASIC FEE		\$770.00	\$385.00
	NUMBER FILED	NUMBER EXTRA	RATE FEE	RATE FEE
TOTAL CT.ATMS	5- 20 =	0	X 18 = \$0.00	x 9 = \$0.00
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	1- 3 =	0	x 86 = \$0.00	x 43 = \$0.00
MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIMS PRESENTED			+ \$290.00	+ \$145.00
		TOTAL	\$770.00	\$0.00

- \boxtimes A check in the amount of \$810.00 to cover the filing fee and recording fee (if applicable) is enclosed.
- Please charge Deposit Account No. 02-2448 in the amount of \$0.00. A triplicate copy of this transmittal form is enclosed.
- □ Please send correspondence to:

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP **or** Customer No. 02292 P.O. Box 747 Falls Church, VA 22040-0747 (703) 205-8000

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

Andrew D. Meikle, #32,868

P.O. Box 747
Falls Church, VA 22040-0747
(703) 205-8000

ADM/msh 0020-5197P

Attachment(s)

(Rev. 10/27/03)

Application/Control Number: 10/678,516 Page 3

Art Unit: 2164

substantial analysis of the detailed specification. Any structural detail that is of sufficient importance to be described should be labeled in the drawing. Optionally, the applicant may wish to include a table next to the present figure to fulfill this requirement. See 37 CFR 1.84(n)(o), recited below:

- "(n) Symbols. Graphical drawing symbols may be used for conventional elements when appropriate. The elements for which such symbols and labeled representations are used must be adequately identified in the specification. Known devices should be illustrated by symbols which have a universally recognized conventional meaning and are generally accepted in the art. Other symbols which are not universally recognized may be used, subject to approval by the Office, if they are not likely to be confused with existing conventional symbols, and if they are readily identifiable.
- (o) Legends. Suitable descriptive legends may be used, or may be required by the Examiner, where necessary for understanding of the drawing, subject to approval by the Office. They should contain as few words as possible."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Otsuka (US Patent No 5,262,877) in view of Nishiyama et al. (Publication No US 2003/0055905) and Imura et al. (Publication No US 2003/0011687).

As to claim 1, Otsuka teaches:

"A data editing apparatus" (see Abstract) comprising:

"a storage section" (see [column 3, lines 35-40] wherein optical disk is equivalent to a storage section), including:

"a first storage area to store audio data and image data that is linked to a predetermined playback position of the audio data, and a second storage area to store only image data and no audio data" (see Abstract and [column 3, lines 55-60] wherein identification field or first recording area is equivalent to <u>Applicant</u>'s "first storage area", and see [column 7, lines 45-55] wherein the image memory or video memory or second recording area is equivalent to <u>Applicant</u>'s "second storage area").

Otsuka does not explicitly teach:

"link release means for canceling a link between arbitrary audio data and image data linked therewith which are stored in the first storage area".

Nishiyama et al. teaches a function of deleting the link between the image data and the sound data (see [0075]).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Otsuka</u> by the teaching of <u>Nishiyama et al.</u> to add the feature of canceling a link between arbitrary audio data and image data since this feature would provide an effective function for the editing device to manage and manipulate audio and image data, as well as their associations or links.

Otsuka and Nishiyama et al. do not teach:

Art Unit: 2164

"moving means for moving the image data, from which the link is canceled from the first storage area to the second storage area when the link is canceled by the link release means, such that the image data from which the link is canceled is no longer stored in the first storage area".

<u>Imura et al.</u> teaches a function of moving an image file from one storage area to another storage area (see [0012], [0045] and [0079]).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Otsuka and Nishiyama et al. by the teaching of Imura et al. to add the feature of moving the image data, from which the link is canceled from the first storage area to the second storage area when the link is canceled by the link release means in order to provide an effective way to organize and manipulate data in the editing system.

As to claim 4, Otsuka teaches:

"A computer-readable storage medium having a data editing program stored thereon which is executable by a computer to cause the computer to edit audio data and image data linked to a predetermined playback position of the audio data, wherein the audio data and the image data linked thereto are stored in a first storage area" (see Abstract and [column 3, lines 25-60] wherein images and voices framed into the formatted recording fields must be linked to a predetermined playback position and the identification field storing images and voices as samples in frames is equivalent to <u>Applicant</u>'s "first storage area").

Otsuka does not explicitly teach:

"canceling a link between arbitrary audio data and corresponding image data stored in the first storage area".

Nishiyama et al. teaches a function of deleting the link between the image data and the sound data (see [0075]).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Otsuka by the teaching of Nishiyama et al. to add the feature of canceling a link between arbitrary audio data and image data in order to provide an effective function for the editing device to manage and manipulate audio and image data, as well as their associations or links.

Otsuka and Nishiyama et al. do not teach:

"moving the image data, from which the link is canceled from the first storage area to the second storage area when the link is canceled by the link release means, such that the image data from which the link is canceled is no longer stored in the first storage area".

Imura et al. teaches a function of moving an image file from one storage area to another storage area (see [0012], [0045] and [0079]).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Otsuka and Nishiyama et al. by the teaching of Imura et al. to add the feature of moving the image data, from which the link is canceled from the first storage area to the second storage area when the link is canceled by the link release means in order to provide an effective way to organize and manipulate data in the editing system.

Otsuka as modified teaches:

"wherein only image data, and no audio data, is stored in the second storage area" (see Abstract, [column 5, lines 45-52] and [column 7, lines 45-55] wherein the image memory or video memory or second recording area is equivalent to <u>Applicant</u>'s "second storage area").

Art Unit: 2164

8. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Otsuka (US Patent No 5,262,877) in view of Nishiyama et al. (Publication No US 2003/0055905), and Imura et al. (Publication No US 2003/0011687).as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Forster (Publication No US 2003/0167287).

As to claim 2, this claim is rejected based on arguments given above for rejected claim 1 and is similarly rejected including the following:

Otsuka, Nishiyama et al. and Imura et al. do not teach "inhibiting means for inhibiting the movement of the image data from which the link is canceled if the same image data as the image data to be moved is already stored in the second storage area".

Forster teaches "inhibiting means for inhibiting the movement of the image data from which the link is canceled if the same image data as the image data to be moved is already stored in the second storage area" (see [0041] wherein modified file is not copied into the file collection when modified file is identical to existing file in the file collection represents an inhibiting means).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Otsuka, Nishiyama et al. and Imura et al. by the teaching of Forster to include an inhibiting means for inhibiting the movement of the image from which the link is canceled if the same image data as the image data to be moved is already stored in the second storage area in order to reduces time and resource cost involved in the moving process.

As a result, the system proceeds more efficiently and effectively.

Application/Control Number: 10/678,516

Art Unit: 2164

al. (Publication No US 2003/0018777)

9. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Otsuka (US Patent No 5,262,877) in view of Nishiyama et al. (Publication No US 2003/0055905), and Imura et al. (Publication No US 2003/0011687), as applied in claim 1 above, and further in view of Miller et

Page 8

Otsuka, Nishiyama et al. and Imura et al. do not teach "wherein when canceling the link information, the link release means rewrites header information of the audio data and rewrite header information of the image data to cancel the link between the audio data and the image data".

Miller et al. teaches "wherein when canceling the link information, the link release means rewrites header information of the audio data and rewrite header information of the image data to cancel the link between the audio data and the image data" (see [0075] and [0089] for including link information in file header).

It would be obvious to a person having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Otsuka, Nishiyama et al. and Imura et al. by the teaching of Miller et al. to store link data within the audio data and image data, especially in their file header in order to provide an effective and convenient way to manage and control the link information.

Art Unit: 2164

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuong-Thao Cao whose telephone number is (571) 272-2735. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM (Mon - Fri).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Rones can be reached on (571) 272-4085. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PTC

March 26, 2007

